Oxfam’s approach to building resilience is community-centred, but this doesn’t mean that the work stops at community level. Oxfam works to empower local communities at risk from disasters and the impacts of climate change to become resilient, while at the same time shifting power and creating impact at sub-national and national levels. This includes work to secure and enhance livelihoods and increase connections and accountability between communities and government so that resilience is built in communities and at scale.

Key lessons for resilient development

1. Resilient development outcomes, in particular those that are transformative, are stronger when projects use a range of targeted ‘building blocks’ and social change processes.

2. A community-centred approach, grounded in local, participatory context analysis and planning, is effective for building resilience and promoting local empowerment and sustainability.

3. Building relationships between communities and government is effective not only for building community capacity and promoting local empowerment, but in increasing replication of successful models, government accountability and sustainability.

4. Efforts to build livelihood resilience are most effective when projects have a sound context analysis, a clear theory of change, and interventions that are appropriately targeted.

Introduction

Oxfam has been implementing resilience-building programs across the Asia-Pacific region for more than a decade. Evidence from research and evaluations demonstrates how these initiatives support women and men, as well as governments and communities, to build resilience at scale.

Oxfam defines resilience as the ability of women and men to realise their rights and improve their wellbeing despite shocks, stresses and uncertainty.1

This Evidence Brief synthesises evidence of how Oxfam is working to support community-centred approaches to climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction, delivering outcomes for people who are at risk from disasters and climate change. It highlights how such efforts have improved accountability between communities and governments to achieve impacts beyond the local level, influencing government policies and practices at national and sub-national scales.

It is one of a series commissioned by Oxfam Australia that identifies where, how and why Oxfam’s approach to resilient development has created impact. The findings and lessons are intended to influence discussions among Oxfam staff, Oxfam partners, and the broader development community (in particular, development partners) about how to achieve resilient development outcomes.

Community-centred resilience

Community-centred resilience is an approach and process in which at-risk communities are actively involved in the identification, analysis, planning, monitoring and evaluation of disaster, climate change and other risks in order to build their individual and collective resilience to shocks, stresses and uncertainty. Local people are at the heart of decision-making, with a key emphasis placed on participation, empowerment and capacity strengthening so that people and their communities can advocate for themselves. It is designed to not only address local issues, but by using a systems approach, which recognises the need to change social systems that create risk, fragility and vulnerability, it addresses systemic, longer term issues.
FINDINGS

Key finding 1: Using a variety of ‘building blocks’ and social change processes, Oxfam projects are building capacity to absorb shocks, adapt to longer term changes and transform the social and political systems that make people vulnerable. Outcomes are stronger where they employ a range of social change processes.

With over a decade of programming focused on supporting women and men to build resilience in diverse contexts, Oxfam and partners have delivered outcomes across all three of the capacities (absorptive, adaptive and transformative) outlined in Oxfam’s Framework for Resilient Development (DIFRD).

Oxfam has used a range of programming areas or ‘building blocks’. Examples of how building blocks and social change are working together to contribute to resilience outcomes are presented in Table 1. There is strongest evidence of increases in absorptive capacity, likely because the majority of projects reviewed are disaster risk reduction projects that focus specifically on building capacity to manage shocks. However, many projects are contributing to adaptive and transformative capacity, particularly in relationship to women’s empowerment, and national-level policy change.
### Table 1: Examples of the contribution of ‘building blocks’ and social change processes to resilience outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Building Blocks</th>
<th>Social Change Processes</th>
<th>Resilience Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Disaster preparedness and community planning: including risk analysis; formation and training of community preparedness and response structures; formulation of community action plans and family disaster plans; establishing or improving early warning systems; training for community, government and local partners; and strengthening relationships between stakeholders.</td>
<td>Accountable governance: strengthened relationships between communities and government; enhancing civil society’s ability to able to lobby for local change.</td>
<td>Absorptive capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Informing: supporting communities to access climate change, disaster risk and early warning information.</td>
<td>Flexible and forward-looking planning: combining scientific information with local knowledge to develop disaster risk management and climate change adaptation plans.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gender justice and empowerment: prioritising and developing women’s agency and leadership in disaster preparedness and response.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food security and income generation: including livelihood diversification, new practices, new income sources.</td>
<td>Securing and enhancing livelihoods: diversifying and developing new practices and income sources to manage the impacts of climate change.</td>
<td>Absorptive capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small business, financial services and insurance: including savings and loans groups; small business insurance linked to business continuity planning; and weather index insurance.</td>
<td>Flexible and forward-looking decision-making: using approaches that allow poor households to manage disaster risk.</td>
<td>Absorptive capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WASH: including water management committees; WASH in emergencies; and resilient infrastructure.</td>
<td>Gender justice and empowerment: addressing gender equality and shifting gender roles and accountability.</td>
<td>Transformative capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Government planning and accountability: including civil society alliance building, advocacy and influencing with government.</td>
<td>Multi-stakeholder relationships: building alliances among civil society.</td>
<td>Transformative capacity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accountable governance: increasing civil society capacity to advocate for change.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCALING UP IMPACT IN VANUATU

The Building Resilience Program* which took place in Vanuatu in 2014-2017, included interventions and approaches that increased collaboration between communities, NGOs and government authorities. The program’s focus on working with government at different levels (national, provincial and district) through the creation of disaster and climate change committees has been transformational. By building the capacity of government agencies to establish and register Community Disaster and Climate Change Committees (CDCCCs), the development and implementation of the Provincial Disaster Response Plan, and by increasing linkages between CDCCCs, their Area Secretaries and Provincial Disaster Offices; this has transformed community preparedness and response measures and the government’s own governance capacity. Subsequent to the project, Oxfam and other NGOs are now working with the government to massively scale up the number of CDCCCs (with the aim to have committees in every community), as well as setting up and strengthening Provincial Disaster and Climate Change Committees (PDCCCs).

‘When I came to the NDMO in 2011, government and NGOs worked very separately. [Now] we work together more, we have permanent clusters, and we see the benefit of working with partners.’

Staff member, National Disaster Management Office, Vanuatu

Elevating local concerns to sub-national and national levels has been achieved through the work of the Vanuatu Climate Action Network (VCAN) and the Vanuatu Humanitarian Team (VHT), which play a crucial role in building local civil society capacity on climate change and disaster issues, including linking with and influencing government policy and practice. Their work is highly valued by the government, with requests to increase collaboration not just in convening inter-cluster groups, but in the implementation of government priorities.

* The Building Resilience Program was supported by Margaret A. Cargill Philanthropies.
Key finding 2: Oxfam projects have contributed to important changes in government policies and practices, including replication of successful models and increasing the accountability of governments to communities. Better approaches for capturing Oxfam’s contributions will further build the evidence base.

Using community-centred approaches to build resilience at scale
Key finding 3: A community-centred approach, grounded in local, participatory context analysis and planning, is effective for building resilience and promoting local empowerment and sustainability.

Localised community-centred approaches to resilience empower people to take action in anticipation or response to shocks and change. These approaches strengthen relationships and accountability with government, other service providers and representative groups, so that their needs and priorities are addressed.

Finding 3a: Participatory, bottom-up planning processes and solid context analysis build the knowledge base of community and Oxfam staff and partners in risks and change, while promoting local empowerment.

The majority of resilience building work in this review took place in rural communities, including on remote islands. However, there were also examples of effective practices in peri-urban communities in Indonesia and Vanuatu and poor urban communities in Bangladesh. The entry point for many of Oxfam’s resilience projects are participatory vulnerability and capacity assessments. In diverse contexts, communities, project staff and government representatives come together to understand risks faced by communities. This is followed by an action planning process, to identify responses to both disaster risk, and longer-term risks from climate change.

A participatory approach is more than just “doing what communities want” — participatory processes are empowering communities to learn and take active steps to manage risks. Community-centred approaches require activities to be tailored to the local context. It also allows for awareness raising and capacity strengthening, if facilitated well.

- In Indonesia, participatory processes were used to select female participants in a business development program, and identify appropriate measures to support business owners to manage the risks that disasters pose to their businesses.

- In Vanuatu, participatory community planning processes shaped the way that the project worked with peri-urban communities. The communities, who relied on river water for household use, identified that securing access to piped water was a critical development priority, and an important step to building community resilience. The project was able to work with multiple stakeholders over more than a year to broker community access to piped water. This was transformational, and was particularly important for women, who were largely responsible for their family’s water needs.

Bangladesh: Monika collects drinking water from the solar-powered pond sand water filter in Shatkhira. “We had to collect water from a long distance before and wasted a lot of time. But now we can easily get better water from this tube well and living better than before,” she said. Photo: Abir Abdullah/Oxfam
Finding 3b: Strengthening community organisations, while building links to government policy and plans, contributes to local absorptive capacity and potential for transformation.

Oxfam has supported a range of local institutions and community-centred organisations. Work with these institutions (including disaster and climate change management committees, savings groups, women’s empowerment groups and business support groups) includes training and capacity strengthening.

• In Vanuatu and Pakistan, evidence from reviews of emergency responses suggest that because Oxfam and partners invested in the capacity of local institutions — informing them of risks and undertaking forward-looking planning — communities received early warning information and acted on it more quickly. They used the information and took steps to protect life and assets. This resulted in less damage and a quicker recovery than in non-project communities. Through improved relationships and accountability, they were then able to more readily engage with the government response supporting quicker recovery.30

• In Vanuatu and Solomon Islands, community members felt that the project helped bring together different groups to work together to design and implement the activities in spite of differences between families (for example, land conflicts).31

• There are also multiple examples where training and quotas set by projects have supported women to take leadership positions in local institutions — often for the first time. This resulted in greater involvement of women in decision-making at community and household level.

• However, the effectiveness of local institutions can depend heavily on local context and leadership. In India, communities often expect immediate material benefit or welfare and are not used to self-organising, or fail to see the value of investing time in local institutions.32

While local capacity is important, some of the outcomes in key finding 2 demonstrate the value of linking community disaster management groups to local government — both the local authorities, such as village committees or councils, but also the agencies responsible for disaster risk management and climate change adaptation. This support builds accountability and increases good governance. It also recognises that while communities may often be first responders, disasters can exceed their capacity to cope. During disasters, these groups can be effective conduits between the government structures and communities.
Key finding 4: Oxfam has used a range of context specific strategies to strengthen livelihood resilience, and this work was most effective when the objectives and intended outcomes were clear, based on context analysis, and the interventions were appropriately targeted.

Many Oxfam climate change and disaster risk reduction projects have a central focus on securing and enhancing livelihoods in the face of change. The outcomes of these initiatives vary, but evidence from programs suggests that they are most effective when they are guided by a clear theory of change.

Finding 4a: Projects were most effective when livelihood activities were linked to a clear theory of change and grounded in a sound analysis of the local context.

Resilience projects use a range of strategies to secure or enhance livelihoods, but some projects faltered when this component of a resilience project wasn’t linked to a clear theory of change. This review identified that linking development outcomes (such as food security, women’s economic empowerment, increased income, and small business development) with resilience outcomes (absorptive, adaptive and transformative capacity) can support:

- **Food security** — in Timor-Leste and Solomon Islands, where communities depend on subsistence agriculture to meet the majority of food demand, success has come from activities including the use of kitchen and household gardens, as well as introducing irrigation alongside household water and sanitation, and food storage and preservation.

- **Women’s Economic Empowerment** — addressing gender inequality at the household and community level is important to Oxfam projects. Many projects sought to use economic activities as a way to build women’s individual agency and access and control of assets, as well as collective empowerment through livelihood activities. This supports absorptive capacity and also has the potential to bring about transformative change in the status of women.

- **Increasing income** — in Indonesia, support for small enterprises increased income, which may then be invested into education, saved for time of disasters or used to open up future opportunities.

- **Protecting livelihood assets and minimising disaster loss** — using early warning information (in Nepal, Indonesia, Pakistan and Vanuatu), and increasing access to insurance by small business owners and farmers (in Sri Lanka and Indonesia) helped preserve crops and livestock during times of stress and extreme weather events.

Finding 4b: Combining income-generating activities with business management training and financial services amplifies project contributions to absorptive capacity.

Evidence from Oxfam projects suggests that increases in income alone are unlikely to be a determinant of household resilience. The impact of improved income is manifested when it is invested in savings for emergencies, investing the income in further productive enterprises, or into key family needs. In certain contexts, improved access to loans and credit, and other financial services such as insurance, can also support absorptive capacity.

- In peri-urban areas of Indonesia, Oxfam and partner Jemari Sakato provided small business owners, particularly women, with business management training, business continuity plans for disasters, and also supported these business owners to access bank accounts and disaster insurance from private sector providers.

- In Sri Lanka, where farmers lose an average of 40% of their crops to floods and other climate-related hazards, weather index insurance reduced barriers (including literacy) for insurance payouts.

- In Timor-Leste, Oxfam and partners have supported savings and loan groups. These groups have played an important role in helping families cope (by buying food) and in sustaining livelihood activities during disaster. 91% of evaluation participants said that, as member of a savings and credit group, they had more food to eat, while 86% said that it had increased their income.

---

Philippines: Theodora learned about intercropping and making organic fertilisers at Oxfam’s Climate Resiliency Field Schools. “We’ve managed to improve our lives through growing vegetables,” she said. Photo: Tessa Bunney/Oxfam
CONCLUSION

Oxfam’s programs focus on building the resilience of poor women and men, using community-centred approaches to build resilience. There is strong evidence that Oxfam projects have built absorptive, adaptive and, to a lesser degree, transformative capacity for these people. This is supported not only by technical interventions or “building blocks”, but also through facilitating social change processes, and building relationships between stakeholders from local to national level. Grounding projects through local, participatory context analysis and planning promotes local empowerment. Likewise, support to local institutions, while building links to government policy and plans, builds local absorptive capacity and potential for transformation.

Oxfam projects strengthen relationships and accountability between government power holders and local communities, and influence at national level has contributed to the passage of legislation related to climate change adaptation and disaster risk reduction. These advocacy efforts are strengthened by voices from local communities, demonstrating that community-centred approaches extend beyond the local level. However, evaluations need to use better tools and approaches to capture Oxfam’s contribution to some of these national level changes.

All of these findings validate the Oxfam International Framework for Resilient Development. The effectiveness of this suite of approaches across multiple contexts and countries suggests that findings have the potential to be transferred, especially when projects remain grounded in local context analysis.

RESEARCH QUESTIONS AND METHODOLOGY

The following questions were based on Oxfam’s conceptual frameworks, relevant academic literature, and discussions with Oxfam staff.

1. What impacts have Oxfam’s community-centred projects had on the resilience of women, men and people living with a disability?
2. What impacts have Oxfam’s community-centred projects had on government policies and practices, including on building government accountability to communities?
3. What has been learned about how to address climate change in diverse cultural, political, economic, environmental and development contexts?
4. What has been learned about strengthening livelihood resilience in a changing climate?

35 evaluations and research reports from Oxfam projects from the Asia-Pacific region were used to inform this brief. Findings were qualitatively coded against each research question and emergent sub-themes, and assessed for quality of evidence.

A detailed methodology, including limitations, is available from Oxfam.
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PAKISTAN
Community-based Disaster Risk Management and Livelihoods Program

INDIA
Brahmaputra River Basin Resilience Building Project*

BANGLADESH
Resilience through Economic Empowerment Climate Adaptation Leadership and Learning*
Urban Resilience in Bangladesh*

NEPAL
Joint Programme on Disaster Risk Management and Humanitarian Preparedness

PHILIPPINES
Building Resilient and Adaptive Communities and Institutions in Mindanao, Philippines*
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SRI LANKA
Disaster Risk Reduction — Humanitarian Partnership Agreement*
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Building and Deepening Resilience in Eastern Indonesia*
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TIMOR-LESTE
Community-based Climate Change Adaptation in Timor-Leste*
Action for Resilient Communities in Timor-Leste — Humanitarian Partnership Agreement Response*
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Central America-Melanesia (CAMEL) Resilience Building Program

*These projects were supported by the Australian Government and implemented by Oxfam and partners.
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